1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 11 BROADCAST MUSIC, INC.; MJ No. C 12-04740 LB PUBLISHING TRUST d/b/a MIJAC MUSIC; 12 FOURTEENTH HOUR MUSIC INC.; COTILLION MUSIC, INC. d/b/a PRONTO ORDER TO PROVIDE MUSIC; HOUSE OF CASH, INC.; RICK'S 13 SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING MUSIC, INC.; RED SEA SONGS; RONDOR MUSIC INTERNATIONAL, INC. d/b/a 14 IRVING MUSIC; SONGS OF UNIVERSAL, 15 INC.: KINGS ROAD MUSIC: PAUL SIMON MUSIC; EMI VIRGIN SONGS, INC. d/b/a 16 EMI LONGITUDE MUSIC; SONY/ATV SONGS LLC; THE BERNARD EDWARDS COMPANY LLC; PAINTED DESERT 17 MUSIC CORPORATION,, 18 Plaintiffs, 19 20 RHONDA ROSE ROASCIO, individually and d/b/a MOM & POP'S SALOON, 21 22 Defendants. 23 24 Plaintiff Broadcast Music Inc. ("BMI"), and the ten other Plaintiffs (the "Copyright Owners"), 25 sued Rhonda Roascio individually and doing business as Mom & Pop's Saloon (together, "Ms. Roascio") for copyright infringement. Compl., ECF No. 1.1 Plaintiffs allege that Ms. Roascio 26 27 ¹ Citations are to the Electronic Case File ("ECF") with pin cites to the electronically-28 generated page numbers at the top of the document. C 12-04740 LB ORDER

1	infringed the Copyright Owners' rights in ten musical compositions by performing the songs in
2	Mom and Pop's Saloon. <i>Id.</i> ¶¶ 20, 23. Mr. Roascio has not answered or appeared and Plaintiffs
3	have moved for default judgment. See Motion for Default Judgment ("Motion"), ECF No. 15; see
1	generally Docket.
5	In order to evaluate Plaintiffs' motion for Default Judgment, the court must consider the merits
5	of Plaintiffs' substantive claims. See Eitel v. McCool, 782 F.2d 1470, 1472 (9th Cir. 1986).
7	Plaintiffs claim that BMI is a nonexclusive licensee of the Copyright Owners. See Wolfe Decl.,
3	ECF No. 15-4 ¶ 2. The court appreciates that courts have granted default judgment when a non-
)	exclusive licensee such as BMI joins with a plaintiff who owns the copyright for the music
)	compilation at issue. See, e.g., Broadcast Music, Inc. v. Kiflit, No. 12-CV-00856-LHK, 2012 WL
1	471852 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 2, 2012). Probably there is some delineated exclusivity over the licensing of
2	the public performance rights, and maybe the allegation about that in the complaint is sufficient
3	when BMI includes the owners in the lawsuit. Still, to the extent that it raises an issue about
1	standing, the undersigned would appreciate it if BMI would file a short (one to two page) informal
5	letter brief on the issue no later than Wednesday, June 26, 2013, at noon. It does not have to be that
5	detailed.
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.
3	Dated: June 25, 2013
)	United States Magistrate Judge

C 12-04740 LB ORDER